

Results to accompany:

Cho, S.-J., Athay, M., & Preacher, K. J. (in press). Measuring change for a multidimensional test using a generalized explanatory longitudinal item response model. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*.

This document reports the results of the following six models also shown in the paper using simulated data:

- Model 1: Embretson descriptive model for unidimensional tests,
- Model 2: Embretson explanatory model for unidimensional tests,
- Model 3: Descriptive longitudinal model for multidimensional tests (without fixed effects in Equation 2),
- Model 4: Explanatory longitudinal model for multidimensional tests (Equation 2),
- Model 5: Descriptive bi-factor longitudinal model for multidimensional tests (without fixed effects in Equation 3),  
and
- Model 6: Explanatory bi-factor longitudinal model for multidimensional tests (Equation 3).

The simulated data with the same indicators and the lmer code shown in the paper were also posted as separate files on the website. Item responses were generated based on Equation 2 and estimates reported in Table 2 of the paper were considered as true parameters in the model (i.e., explanatory longitudinal model for multidimensional tests).

Models 1-6 were fit to the same simulated data. Results of Models 1-6 are reported in Table 1-6 respectively below. Model fit results are shown in Table 7.

Table 1: Estimates and Standard Errors (SE) from Model 1

	Est.	SE
<b>Fixed Effects</b>		
$\beta_1$	1.285	0.164
$\beta_2$	1.614	0.169
$\beta_3$	-0.057	0.158
$\beta_4$	0.730	0.159
$\beta_5$	1.069	0.162
$\beta_6$	-0.355	0.159
$\beta_7$	1.012	0.161
$\beta_8$	0.917	0.160
$\beta_9$	1.880	0.174
$\beta_{10}$	0.418	0.158
$\beta_{11}$	-0.223	0.158
$\beta_{12}$	-0.112	0.158
$\beta_{13}$	-1.052	0.166
$\beta_{14}$	-0.488	0.160
<b>Random Effects</b>		
<i>Persons</i>		
	Var.	Corr.
		Time 1    Time 2
$\Sigma$	Time 1    3.372	
	Time 2    1.690	-0.641
	Time 3    5.116	-0.809    0.378

Table 2: Estimates and Standard Errors (SE) from Model 2

	Est.	SE
<b>Fixed Effects</b>		
$\zeta_{..}$ [Intercept]	0.286	0.858
<i>Persons</i>		
$\zeta_{21}$ [Time 2 by Group]	-0.268	0.521
$\zeta_{31}$ [Time 3 by Group]	0.861	0.563
$\zeta_{2.}$ [Time 2]	0.737	0.138
$\zeta_{3.}$ [Time 3]	2.5187	0.158
$\zeta_{.1}$ [Group]	-1.195	0.476
<i>Items</i>		
$\beta_1$ [Operation]	-1.324	0.785
$\beta_2$ [Measurement]	0.303	0.439
$\beta_3$ [Representation]	-0.456	0.592
<b>Random Effects</b>		
<i>Persons</i>		
	Var.	Corr.
		Time 1    Time 2
$\Sigma$	Time 1	1.219
	Time 2	1.089    -0.410
	Time 3	1.097    -0.330    -0.333
<i>Items</i>		
$\sigma_{\epsilon}$ [Variance]	0.507	

Table 3: Estimates and Standard Errors (SE) from Model 3

		Est.	SE	
<b>Fixed Effects</b>				
$\beta_1$		1.193	0.163	
$\beta_2$		1.518	0.168	
$\beta_3$		0.047	0.207	
$\beta_4$		1.139	0.216	
$\beta_5$		1.631	0.221	
$\beta_6$		-0.348	0.206	
$\beta_7$		1.547	0.220	
$\beta_8$		1.409	0.219	
$\beta_9$		2.169	0.202	
$\beta_{10}$		0.632	0.245	
$\beta_{11}$		-0.203	0.237	
$\beta_{12}$		-0.182	0.157	
$\beta_{13}$		-1.114	0.167	
$\beta_{14}$		-0.554	0.159	
<b>Random Effects</b>				
<i>Persons</i>				
		Var.	Corr.	
			Time 1	Time 2
$\Sigma_1$ [Operation]	Time 1	3.527		
	Time 2	1.782	-0.773	
	Time 3	4.120	-0.862	0.720
$\Sigma_2$ [Measurement]	Time 1	4.707		
	Time 2	3.639	-0.320	
	Time 3	4.314	-0.569	-0.514
$\Sigma_3$ [Representation]	Time 1	4.569		
	Time 2	1.058	0.189	
	Time 3	2.972	-0.907	-0.585

Table 4: Estimates and Standard Errors (SE) from Model 4

		Est.	SE
<b>Fixed Effects</b>			
$\zeta_{..}$ [Intercept]		0.616	0.930
<i>Persons</i>			
$\zeta_{21}$ [Time 2 by Group]		0.102	0.565
$\zeta_{31}$ [Time 3 by Group]		0.960	0.595
$\zeta_{2.}$ [Time 2]		0.670	0.150
$\zeta_{3.}$ [Time 3]		2.529	0.171
$\zeta_{.1}$ [Group]		-1.418	0.496
<i>Items</i>			
$\beta_1$ [Operation]		-1.619	0.861
$\beta_2$ [Measurement]		0.384	0.493
$\beta_3$ [Representation]		-0.620	0.660
<b>Random Effects</b>			
<i>Persons</i>			
		Var.	Corr.
			Time 1    Time 2
$\Sigma_1$ [Operation]	Time 1	3.002	
	Time 2	0.448	0.909
	Time 3	2.142	-0.992
$\Sigma_2$ [Measurement]	Time 1	2.620	
	Time 2	2.924	-0.165
	Time 3	2.912	-0.405
$\Sigma_3$ [Representation]	Time 1	1.126	
	Time 2	0.838	-0.467
	Time 3	0.507	-0.448
<i>Items</i>			
$\sigma_\epsilon$ [Variance]		0.602	

Table 5: Estimates and Standard Errors (SE) from Model 5

		Est.	SE	
<b>Fixed Effects</b>				
$\beta_1$		0.177	0.169	
$\beta_2$		0.494	0.173	
$\beta_3$		-1.801	0.238	
$\beta_4$		-0.744	0.235	
$\beta_5$		-0.294	0.236	
$\beta_6$		-2.202	0.240	
$\beta_7$		-0.370	0.236	
$\beta_8$		-0.496	0.235	
$\beta_9$		1.041	0.235	
$\beta_{10}$		-1.149	0.285	
$\beta_{11}$		-1.984	0.289	
$\beta_{12}$		-1.226	0.172	
$\beta_{13}$		-2.260	0.192	
$\beta_{14}$		-1.628	0.178	
<b>Random Effects</b>				
<i>Persons</i>				
		Var.	Corr.	
			Time 1	Time 2
$\Sigma_0$ [Overall ]	Time 1	0.613		
	Time 2	0.203	—	
	Time 3	6.278	—	—
$\Sigma_1$ [Operation]	Time 1	0.302		
	Time 2	1.182	—	
	Time 3	2.022	—	—
$\Sigma_2$ [Measurement]	Time 1	2.192		
	Time 2	2.012	—	
	Time 3	2.306	—	—
$\Sigma_3$ [Representation]	Time 1	2.888		
	Time 2	0.366	—	
	Time 3	0.000	—	—
—: Not modelled				

Table 6: Estimates and Standard Errors (SE) from Model 6

	Est.	SE	
<b>Fixed Effects</b>			
$\zeta_{..}$ [Intercept]	0.573	0.939	
<i>Persons</i>			
$\zeta_{21}$ [Time 2 by Group]	-0.178	0.501	
$\zeta_{31}$ [Time 3 by Group]	0.835	0.648	
$\zeta_{2.}$ [Time 2]	0.643	0.135	
$\zeta_{3.}$ [Time 3]	2.766	0.187	
$\zeta_{.1}$ [Group]	-1.273	0.461	
<i>Items</i>			
$\beta_1$ [Operation]	-1.611	0.866	
$\beta_2$ [Measurement]	0.184	0.504	
$\beta_3$ [Representation]	-0.566	0.669	
<b>Random Effects</b>			
<i>Persons</i>			
	Var.	Corr.	
		Time 1    Time 2	
$\Sigma_0$ [Overall ]	Time 1	0.474	
	Time 2	0.081	—
	Time 3	1.037	—    —
$\Sigma_1$ [Operation]	Time 1	0.346	
	Time 2	0.604	—
	Time 3	0.056	—    —
$\Sigma_2$ [Measurement]	Time 1	1.940	
	Time 2	1.240	—
	Time 3	0.794	—    —
$\Sigma_3$ [Representation]	Time 1	2.649	
	Time 2	0.052	—
	Time 3	0.000	—    —
<i>Items</i>			
$\sigma_\epsilon$ [Variance]	0.614		
—: Not modelled			

Table 7: Model Fit Comparisons using the Simulation Data

Model	Dimension	Explanatory	Num. of Parameters	Log-Likelihood	AIC	BIC
Model 1	Unidimensional	No	20	-2463.5	4967.0(6)	5095.6(6)
Model 2	Unidimensional	Yes	16	-2413.9	4859.9(5)	4962.8(5)
Model 3	Multidimensional	No	32	-2343.1	4750.1(3)	4955.8(3)
Model 4	Multidimensional	Yes	28	-2304.5	4664.9(1)	4844.9(2)
Model 5	Bi-Factor Multidimensional	No	23	-2373.7	4799.4(4)	4966.6(4)
Model 6	Bi-Factor Multidimensional	Yes	22	-2328.5	4701.0(2)	4842.4(1)

Table 8: Model Fit Comparisons using the Empirical Data (Table 5 of the paper)

Model	Dimension	Explanatory	Num. of Parameters	Log-Likelihood	AIC	BIC
Model 1	Unidimensional	No	20	-2462.9	4965.8(6)	5094.4(6)
Model 2	Unidimensional	Yes	16	-2413.7	4859.4(4)	4962.3(4)
Model 3	Multidimensional	No	32	-2338.8	4741.5(3)	4947.2(3)
Model 4	Multidimensional	Yes	28	-2302.7	4661.4(1)	4841.4(1)
Model 5	Bi-Factor Multidimensional	No	23	-2411.7	4869.4(5)	5017.3(5)
Model 6	Bi-Factor Multidimensional	Yes	22	-2328.0	4700.1(2)	4841.4(1)

Note. Values in parentheses in Tables 7 and 8 indicate the rank orders of AIC or BIC values (The smallest rank value means the smallest AIC or BIC). Rank orders of AIC and BIC values are similar between the empirical data reported in the paper and the simulated data.